

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/620,482	07/16/2003	Detlef Cordts	331.1045	9078
23280	7590 01/12/2005		EXAM	INER
	, DAVIDSON & KAP	BINDA, GREGORY JOHN		
NEW YORK,	H AVENUE, 14TH FLC NY 10018	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			3679	

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	115			
0.00		10/620,48	2	CORDTS ET AL.	At 1			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Greg Bind		3679				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	SS			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication reperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no even. r. a reply within the state ariod will apply and witatute, cause the apply	ent, however, may a reply be to story minimum of thirty (30) da Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror ication to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this commu ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	inication.			
Status								
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	13 December 2	004.					
<i>,</i> —	•	This action is n						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-7,11 and 13-18 is/are pending if 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-7,11 and 13-18 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from co	nsideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 December 2004</u> Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a) a the drawing(s) b prection is requir	ne held in abeyance. So ed if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for   All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docunt   2. Certified copies of the priority docunt   3. Copies of the certified copies of the   application from the International Buse   See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have bee nents have bee priority documo ureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applica ents have been receive 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Sta	ge			
2) 🔲 Notii 3) 🔯 Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI er No(s)/Mail Date 20041213.		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail (5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		2)			

Art Unit: 3679

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

# Response to Amendment

2. The amendment filed December 13, 2004 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: "One of the joints is shown as a spherical bearing" at paragraph 22 and Fig. 4. No where in the original disclosure is there a mention of a coupling having just one spherical bearing.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

The amendment filed December 13, 2004 is objected to because the claim listing the 3. status indicator of claim 12 is "currently amended" but no text follows and in the remarks the claim is identified at least twice as cancelled.

#### Drawings

- 4. The drawings filed December 13, 2004 are objected to as failing to comply 37 CFR 1.84(p)(1) because Fig. 4 includes reference numerals with inverted comas.
- Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing

Art Unit: 3679

sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Specification

- 6. The disclosure is objected to because:
  - a. Paragraph 18 was amended to describe the driving machine as a shaft or a wheel hub, but that contradicts the original disclosure at paragraph 21 where the driving machine is described as a gear. How can a driving machine that is a gear be construed to be a shaft or a wheel hub?
  - b. In the detailed description there is no mention of how the "elastomer layers 20" at page 4, line 12 are connected to the other parts of the disclosed invention.

Art Unit: 3679

c. Page 5, line 12 was amended to include the description -- bearing 10' --.

However, previously the bearings were identified by numeral 17 and numeral 10 was used to identify a joint.

- 7. The specification is objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.71 and 1.75(d)(1) because the detailed description fails to provide proper antecedent basis for the following claimed subject matter:
  - a. Claim 1, line 9 and claim 6: "at least three identical articulated levers". No less than four levers are described at page 4, line 5.
  - b. Claim 2, lines 2 & 3: "at least three identical articulated second levers"
  - c. Claim 6: "each corresponding axial plane passes through a center of two of the articulated levers"
  - d. Claim 16: all limitations therein
- Applicant stated in the amendment filed December 13, 2004 that the specification objections in item 7 above should be withdrawn because the noted claim limitations are mentioned in the Summary of the Invention. However, those features are not described in the detail description of the invention as required under 37 CFR 1.71 and 1.75(d). A summary is not a substitute for a detailed description as is clearly stipulated in 37 CFR 1.73 where it is stated that a summary "should precede the detailed description", not replace it.

Art Unit: 3679

## Claim Objections

9. Claim 18 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

10. Claims 14 & 16 are objected to because it recites the limitation "the bearing". Are each of the bearings included with the joints of claim 13 supposed to be covered by the limitation "the bearing"?

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

11. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

12. Claims 11 & 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Each of claims 11 & 18 recites the limitation "the at least one bearing journal". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1 & 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DE 92 02 412.2. According to applicant's explanation of relevance, this reference shows or suggest all the limitations of the claims. See the European search report provided with the information disclosure statement filed December 13, 2004.

Application/Control Number: 10/620,482

Art Unit: 3679

14. Claims 1-7, 11 & 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gerard, EP 0 592 297. Fig. 12 shows a coupling comprising an intermediate shaft 50 with a shaft axis joined at each end by articulated lever couplings to driving and driven shaft members. Figs. 1-6 shows each shaft is provided with a connecting flange 20 that integrally includes at least three radially and axially projecting claw 22, 23 having a plurality of conical bearing journals 24. Figs. 7-11 show that the pairs bearing journals 24 are connected by elastic lever couplings 30 so that the joint axis of each lever are disposed perpendicular to the shaft axis.

#### Response to Arguments

15. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7, 11 & 13-18 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Conclusion

- Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in item 12 above. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- Applicant's submission of an information disclosure statement under 37 CFR 1.97(c) with the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p) on December 13, 2004 prompted the new ground(s) of rejection presented in items 13 & 14. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 609(B)(2)(i). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3679

18. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Greg Binda whose telephone number is (703) 305-2869. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 am to 7:00 pm with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (703) 308-2686. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

